

Docket No.: 240901US2X

P.C.
ATTORNEYS AT LAW

NEUSTADT

OBLON
SPIVAK
MCCLELLAND
MAIER

GREGORY J. MAIER (703) 413-3000 GMAIER@OBLON.COM

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/626,603

Applicants: Takashi HONDA, et al.

Filing Date: July 25, 2003

For: MAGNETIC HEAD APPARATUS, MAGNETIC

HEAD SUPPORTING MECHANISM AND MAGNETIC RECORDING APPARATUS

Group Art Unit: 2627

Examiner: MILLER, BRIAN E.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## **ELECTION**

Our credit card payment form in the amount of \$0.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Gregory J. Majer

Registration No. 25,599

Customer Number

22850

(703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax) GJM/TY/msh

I:\ATTY\TY\RESTRICTION - ELECTION\240901\240901 ELECTION CV LTR 060518.DOC



DOCKET NO: 240901US2X

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

IN RE APPLICATION OF

TAKASHI HONDA, ET AL. : EXAMINER: MILLER, BRIAN E

SERIAL NO: 10/626,603

FILED: JULY 25, 2003 : GROUP ART UNIT: 2627

FOR: MAGNETIC HEAD APPARATUS, MAGNETIC HEAD SUPPORTING MECHANISM AND MAGNETIC RECORDING APPARATUS

## **ELECTION**

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

SIR:

In response to the Election requirement of April 18, 2006, Applicants elect, with traverse, the invention of Group 1 (Figures 1-2). Claims 8, 9 and 11 are readable on the elected species.

Applicants traverse the outstanding election requirement on the grounds that it has not been established that it be an undue burden to examine each of the noted inventions and claims together.

Under M.P.E.P. § 803, an election is not proper if a search and examination can be made without a serious burden on the Examiner, and the outstanding election requirement has not established that examining each of the currently-pending claims together would result in an undue burden.

M.P.E.P. § 803 specifically states:

Application No. 10/626,603 Reply to Office Action of April 18, 2006

> If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

The outstanding election requirement has not established that each of the claims could not be examined without an undue burden. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the requirement to elect a single group be withdrawn, and that full examination on the merits be conducted.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 08/03)

Gregory J. Maier Attorney of Record

Registration No. 25,599

GJM\TY:msh

I:\ATTY\TY\RESTRICTION - ELECTION\240901\240901 ELECTION.DOC